

In Class Activity

- A low spin Co^{3+} complex has an ${}^1A_{1g}$ ground state and excited states of ${}^1T_{1g}$ and ${}^1T_{2g}$. Does vibronic coupling allow these transitions to occur?
 - Hint: in this case as the final electronic state is changing it is easier to work out the direct products in the following sequence,

$$A_{1g} \in \left\{ \Gamma^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma^\mu \otimes \Gamma^{(i)} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma^{(f)} = \Gamma_{vib}^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma_{elec}^{(f)}$$

$$\Gamma^{(i)} = \Gamma_{elec}^{(i)} \otimes \Gamma_{vib}^{(i)}$$

$$A_{1g} \in \left\{ \Gamma_{vib}^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma_{elec}^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma^\mu \otimes \Gamma_{elec}^{(i)} \otimes \Gamma_{vib}^{(i)} \right\}$$

$$A_{1g} \in \left\{ \Gamma_{elec}^{(f)} \otimes \left(\Gamma_{vib}^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma^\mu \otimes \Gamma_{elec}^{(i)} \otimes \Gamma_{vib}^{(i)} \right) \right\}$$

- Co is d^9 and the overall charge is +3 therefore in this complex the Co is d^6 . We are told the complex is low-spin so from the Tanabe-Sugano diagram the ground state is ${}^1A_{1g}$ or alternatively we know the t_{2g} levels are completely filled which must be ${}^1A_{1g}$. The Tanabe-Sugano diagram tells us that the two lowest excitations (preserving the multiplicity) are ${}^1T_{1g}$ and ${}^1T_{2g}$.

$$A_{1g} \in \left\{ \Gamma_{elec}^{(f)} \otimes \left(\Gamma_{vib}^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma^\mu \otimes \Gamma_{elec}^{(i)} \otimes \Gamma_{vib}^{(i)} \right) \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_{elec}^{(i)} \otimes \Gamma_{vib}^{(i)} = {}^1A_{1g} \otimes {}^1A_{1g} = {}^1A_{1g}$$

$$\Gamma^\mu = T_{1u}$$

$$\Gamma_{vib}^{(f)} = (A_{1g}, E_g, T_{1u}, T_{2g}, T_{2u})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Gamma_{vib}^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma^\mu \otimes \Gamma_{elec}^{(i)} \otimes \Gamma_{vib}^{(i)} \right) &= \left\{ (A_{1g}, E_g, T_{1u}, T_{2g}, T_{2u}) \otimes T_{1u} \otimes A_{1g} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ T_{1u}, (T_{1u} + T_{2u}), (A_{1g} + E_g + T_{1g} + T_{2g}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. (A_{2u} + E_u + T_{1u} + T_{2u}), (A_{2g} + E_g + T_{1g} + T_{2g}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\Gamma_{vib}^{(f)} \otimes \Gamma^\mu \otimes \Gamma_{elec}^{(i)} \otimes \Gamma_{vib}^{(i)} \right) \in \{ A_{1g}, A_{2g}, A_{2u}, E_g, E_u, T_{1u}, T_{2u}, T_{1g}, T_{2g} \}$$

$$\Gamma_{elec}^{(f)} \in \{ {}^1T_{1g}, {}^1T_{2g} \}$$

$$A_{1g} \in \left\{ \boxed{T_{1g}}, \boxed{T_{2g}} \right\} \otimes \left\{ A_{1g}, A_{2g}, A_{2u}, E_g, E_u, T_{1u}, T_{2u}, \boxed{T_{1g}}, \boxed{T_{2g}} \right\}$$

- we only to look for “matching” IRs on both sides of the direct product, which we do find, this in the T_{1g} and T_{2g} components, thus this transition is vibronically allowed.